



## Grant Application Scoring Tool - Community Impact Committee

*Community Impact Grant applications for the 2024-2025 cycle that meet Community Impact Fund eligibility criteria will be scored by the Willamette Health Council's (WHC's) Community Impact Committee per the following five (5) Evaluation Areas:*

### **Evaluation Area 1: Community Impact (Total Points Possible: 30)**

1. The project connects with and supports the WHC's mission, which is *to improve the health of the Marion-Polk community by governing our Coordinated Care Organization, investing in our communities, and fostering collaborative relationships.* (See Project Overview, Connection to Willamette Health Council Mission)  
(Scale: 1-5 with 1 being minimal connection/support and 5 being maximum connection/support)  
Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.
2. The project aligns with the following Impact Area(s):\* (See Project Overview, Connection to Impact Area(s))
  - a. Behavioral Health (including mental health and substance use)  
(Scale: 0-5 with 0 being no alignment and 5 being maximum alignment)
  - b. Children, Youth, & Families  
(Scale: 0-5 with 0 being no alignment and 5 being maximum alignment)
  - c. Housing & Homelessness  
(Scale: 0-5 with 0 being no alignment and 5 being maximum alignment)Provide a brief narrative explaining your above scores.
3. The project Outcomes drive measurable change within the designated Impact Areas. (See Project Overview, Impact Area(s) and Outcomes & Outputs)  
(Scale: 1-5 with 1 being minimal measurable change and 5 being maximum measurable change)  
Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.
4. The project Outputs adequately support achievement of the Outcomes. (See Project Overview, Impact Area(s) and Outcomes & Outputs)  
(Scale: 1-5 with 1 being minimal support and 5 being maximum support)  
Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.

\*Indicates Priority Criteria (i.e., the Community Impact Committee will prioritize funding projects that meet these criteria).

**Evaluation Area 2: Equity Impact\* (Total Points Possible: 15)**

5. The project addresses barriers to health equity and improves conditions so all Marion-Polk residents can reach their full health potential. (See Project Overview, Project Aim, Project Summary, Project Need & Demographics of People to be Served; Priority Criteria, Equity Driven)  
(Scale: 0-15 with 0 being does not at all address barriers/improve conditions and 15 being very strongly addresses barriers/improves conditions)  
Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.

**Evaluation Area 3: Cultural & Linguistic Responsiveness\* (Total Points Possible: 15)**

6. The project engages and/or serves diverse communities and demonstrates an ability to meet their cultural and linguistic needs. (See Project Overview, Project Aim, Project Summary, Project Need & Demographics of People to be Served; Priority Criteria, Cultural & Linguistic Responsiveness)  
(Scale: 0-15 with 0 being does not engage/serve nor demonstrates an ability to meet needs and 15 being very strongly engages/serves and demonstrates an ability to meet needs)  
Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.

**Evaluation Area 4: Support of Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP) Aims\* (Total Points Possible: 10)**

7. The project supports one or more CHIP Aims. (See Priority Criteria, CHIP Alignment)  
(Scale: 0-10 with 0 being does not support any CHIP Aims and 10 being very strongly supports one or more CHIP Aims)  
Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.

**Evaluation Area 5: Financial Considerations (Total Points Possible: 30)**

8. Given the overall scope of the project and proposed budget, how reasonable is the funding amount requested? (See Project Overview, Amount Requested, Project Aim, Project Summary, Project Need & Demographics of People to be Served and Project Budget)  
(Scale: 1-5 with 1 being unreasonable and 5 being extremely reasonable)  
Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.
9. Has the project ever been funded by WHC in the past?\*

  - a. If the project **has** previously been funded by WHC, select **0**.

\*Indicates Priority Criteria (i.e., the Community Impact Committee will prioritize funding projects that meet these criteria).

- b. If the project has **not** previously been funded by WHC, select **10**.
  - 10. Has this project ever been funded by PacificSource in the past?\*
  - a. If the project **has** previously been funded by PacificSource, select **0**.
  - b. If the project has **not** previously been funded by PacificSource, select **10**.
  - 11. If awarded a Community Impact Grant, does the project appear to be financially sustainable after the grant ends in 2025? (See Project Overview Project Budget & Project Sustainability)
- (Scale: 1-5 with 1 being unsustainable/there is no sustainability plan and 5 being extremely sustainable/there is a strong sustainability plan)
- Provide a brief narrative explaining your above score.

\*Indicates Priority Criteria (i.e., the Community Impact Committee will prioritize funding projects that meet these criteria).